COPY SUBMITTED IN IDS



 $G_{i}^{\lambda}(t)$

International application No.
PCT/JP02/12708

Int.Cl ⁷ A61K39/395, A61P35/00, 43/00, C07K16/18, C12P21/08 According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification symbols) B. FIELDS SEARCHED Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols) Int.Cl ⁷ A61K39/395, A61P35/00, 43/00, C07K16/18, C12P21/08 Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched Jitsuyo Shinan Koho 1922-1996 Tozoku Jitsuyo Shinan Koho 1994-2003 (Kokai Jitsuyo Shinan Koho 1971-2003 Jitsuyo Shinan Tozoku Koho 1994-2003 (Kokai Jitsuyo Shinan Koho 1971-2003 Jitsuyo Shinan Tozoku Koho 1996-2003 Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where precipiled, search terms used) CAPLUS (STN), BIOSIS (STN), REGISTRY (STN), EMBASE (STN), MEDLINE (STN) C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT Category* Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages Relevant to claim N X SUN, D. et al., Drug inhibition of Gly-Sar uptake and neither the protection, AAPS Pharmasci (continue), 11 January, 2001 (11.01.01), Vol.3, No.1, E2, full text Retrieved from the Internet: CURK.Intp://www.aapspat.msci.org/view.aapspat.psci.or	A CLA	SSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER		
B. FIELDS SEARCHED Minimum documentation system followed by classification symbols Int. C1	Int	.C1 A61K39/395, A61P35/00,	43/00, C07K16/18, C12P21,	/ 08
Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols) Int.Cl² A61K39/395, A61F35/00, 43/00, C07K16/18, C12F21/08	According	to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to be	oth national classification and IPC	
Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched Jitsuyo Shinan Roho 1922–1996 Toroku Jitsuyo Shinan Roho 1994–2003 Kokai Jitsuyo Shinan Roho 1994–2003 Jitsuyo Shinan Toroku Roho 1994–2003 Jitsuyo Shinan Toroku Roho 1996–2003 Peteronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used) CAPLUS (STN), BIOSIS (STN), REGISTRY (STN), EMBASE (STN), MEDLINE (STN) C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT Category* Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages Relevant to claim No and heppT1 localization using hepT1-GFP fusion protein, AAPS PharmaSci(online), 11 January, 2001 (11.01.01), Vol.3, No.1, E2, full text Retrieved from the Internet: VIRE: http://www.aspSpharmsci.org/view.asp?path=ps0301\pyps030102\pyps0300102\p				
Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched Jitsuyo Shinan Koho 1922–1996 Toroku Jitsuyo Shinan Koho 1994–2003 Kokai Jitsuyo Shinan Koho 1994–2003 Jitsuyo Shinan Koho 1994–2003 Jitsuyo Shinan Koho 1994–2003 Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used) CAPLUS (STN), BIOSIS (STN), REGISTRY (STN), EMBASE (STN), MEDLINE (STN) C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT Category* Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages X SUN, D. et al., Drug inhibition of GIy–Sar uptake and hep-fil localization using hep-fil-GFP fusion protein, ARPS PharmaSci (online), 11 January, 2001 (11.01.01), Vol.3, Nol.1, Ez, full text Retrieved from the Internet: «URL:http://www.aapspharmasci.org/view.asp?path=ps0301½ps030102½ps0 30102.xml&pdf=yes> X SAI, Yoshimischi et al., Immunolocalization and pharmacological relevance of oligopeptide transporter PeptI in intestinal absorption of β-lactam antibiotics, FEBS Letters, 1996, Vol.392, Nol.1, pages 25 to 29, full text X Further document but published on or after the international filling date or priority date and not in conflict with insephication but cited to crasidered to the oparicular relevance are claimed invention cannot be considered to invention and considered to the oparicular relevance, the claimed invention cannot be considered to invention and report years of the field document in the continuation of Box C. See patent family annex. T Inter document published after the international filling date or priority date and not in conflict with in the application but cited to cannot be organized arrelevance. carried document but published on or after the international filling date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to considered to inventive an inventive accessed to the special research conspecial group of the priority for the vory under application bu	Minimum	documentation searched (classification system follo	wed by classification symbols)	
Social Jitsuyo Shinan Koho 1971–2003 Jitsuyo Shinan Toroku Koho 1994–2003 1971–2003 Jitsuyo Shinan Toroku Koho 1996–2003 1971–2003 1996–2003	11110	.CI A01K39/395, A61P35/00,	43/00, C07K16/18, C12P21/	′08
Social Jitsuyo Shinan Koho 1971–2003 Jitsuyo Shinan Toroku Koho 1994–2003 1971–2003 Jitsuyo Shinan Toroku Koho 1996–2003 1971–2003 1996–2003				
Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used) CAPLUS (STN), BIOSIS (STN), REGISTRY (STN), EMBASE (STN), MEDLINE (STN) C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT Category* Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages. X SUN, D. et al., Drug inhibition of GLy-Gar uptake and hPepT1 localization using hPepT1-GFP fusion protein, AAPS PharmaSci (online), 11 January, 2001 (11.01.01), Vol.3, No.1, E2, full text Retrieved from the Internet: <url:http: 30102.xml4pdf="yes" view.asp?path="ps0301½ps030102½ps0" www.aapspharmsci.org=""> X SAI, Yoshimichi et al., Immunolocalization and pharmacological relevance of oligopeptide transporter PepT1 in intestinal absorption of β-lactam antibiotics, FEBS Letters, 1996, Vol.392, No.1, pages 25 to 29, full text V</url:http:>	1	dyo 511111111 10110 1922-19	96 Toroku Jitsuyo Shinan Kol	1994-2003
C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT Category* Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages Relevant to claim No. X SUN, D. et al., Drug inhibition of Gly-Sar uptake and heppTl localization using heppTl-GFP fusion protein, AAPS PharmaSci(online), 11 January, 2001 (11.01.01), Vol.3, No.1, E2, full text Retrieved from the Internet: cURL http://www. aapspharmsci.org/view.asp?path=ps0301\forall ps030102\forall ps03010	I		03 Jitsuyo Shinan Toroku Kob	1996-2003
Category* Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages Relevant to claim No X SUN, D. et al., Drug inhibition of Gly-Sar uptake and heepTl localization using heepTl-GFP fusion protein, AAPS PharmaSci [online], II January, 2001 (11.01.01), Vol.3, No.1, E2, full text Retrieved from the Internet: «URL:http://www.aapspharmsci.org/view.asp?path=ps0301½ps030102½ps0 30102.xml4pdf=yes> X SAI, Yoshimichi et al., Immunolocalization and pharmacological relevance of oligopeptide transporter PepTl in intestinal absorption of β-lactam antibiotics, FEBS Letters, 1996, Vol.392, No.1, pages 25 to 29, full text X Special categories of cited documents. No.1, pages 25 to 29, full text X Special categories of cited documents are listed in the continuation of Box C. See patent family annex. X Special categories of cited documents. Occument defining the general state of the ar which is not considered to be of particular relevance carrier document but published on or after the international filing date of considered to the origination and pharmacological relevance of oligoneptide transporter PepTl in intestinal absorption of β-lactam antibiotics, FEBS Letters, 1996, Vol.392, No.1, pages 25 to 29, full text X Sec patent family annex. Y Section of the international filing date of considered over one office with the application but cited to considered over or considered more involves in inventive step when the document is considered to involve an inventive step when the document is considered to involve an inventive step when the document is considered to involve an inventive step when the document is considered to involve an inventive step when the document is considered to involve an inventive step when the document is considered to involve an inventive step when the document is considered to involve an inventive step when the document is considered to involve an inventive step when the document is considered to involve an inventive step when the document is considered to involve an inventiv	Electronic CAPI	data base consulted during the international search (LUS (STN), BIOSIS (STN), REGIST	(name of data base and, where practicable, ser TRY (STN), EMBASE (STN), ME	urch terms used) DLINE (STN)
Category* Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages Relevant to claim No X SUN, D. et al., Drug inhibition of Gly-Sar uptake and heepTl localization using heepTl-GFP fusion protein, AAPS PharmaSci [online], II January, 2001 (11.01.01), Vol.3, No.1, E2, full text Retrieved from the Internet: «URL:http://www.aapspharmsci.org/view.asp?path=ps0301½ps030102½ps0 30102.xml4pdf=yes> X SAI, Yoshimichi et al., Immunolocalization and pharmacological relevance of oligopeptide transporter PepTl in intestinal absorption of β-lactam antibiotics, FEBS Letters, 1996, Vol.392, No.1, pages 25 to 29, full text X Special categories of cited documents. No.1, pages 25 to 29, full text X Special categories of cited documents are listed in the continuation of Box C. See patent family annex. X Special categories of cited documents. Occument defining the general state of the ar which is not considered to be of particular relevance carrier document but published on or after the international filing date of considered to the origination and pharmacological relevance of oligoneptide transporter PepTl in intestinal absorption of β-lactam antibiotics, FEBS Letters, 1996, Vol.392, No.1, pages 25 to 29, full text X Sec patent family annex. Y Section of the international filing date of considered over one office with the application but cited to considered over or considered more involves in inventive step when the document is considered to involve an inventive step when the document is considered to involve an inventive step when the document is considered to involve an inventive step when the document is considered to involve an inventive step when the document is considered to involve an inventive step when the document is considered to involve an inventive step when the document is considered to involve an inventive step when the document is considered to involve an inventive step when the document is considered to involve an inventive step when the document is considered to involve an inventiv				
X A BUN, D. et al., Drug inhibition of Gly-Sar uptake and hPepTl localization using hPepTl-GFP fusion protein, AAPS Pharmasci (online), 11 January, 2001 (11.01.01), Vol.3, No.1, E2, full text Retrieved from the Internet: <url:http: 30102.xml&pdf="yes" view.asp?path="ps0301½ps030102½ps0" www.aapspharmsci.org=""> X SAI, Yoshimichi et al., Immunolocalization and pharmacological relevance of oligopeptide transporter PepTl in intestinal absorption of β-lactam antibioticis, FEBS Letters, 1996, Vol.392, No.1, pages 25 to 29, full text X Special categories of cited documents: document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance carifer document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed understand the principle or theory underlying the invention document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is understand the principle or theory underlying the invention document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to end of the considered to involve an inventive step when the document is understand the principle or theory underlying the invention cannot be considered to end of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined without or an inventive step when the document is combined with order an inventive step when the document is combined without or an inventive step when the document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered on order order or an inventive step when the document is combined with order an inventive step when the document is combined with order an inventive step when the do</url:http:>	C. DOCU	MENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT		
And ArepH1 localization using hPepH1-GFP fusion protein, AAPS PharmaSci [online], 11 January, 2001 (11.01.01), Vol.3, No.1, E2, full text Retrieved from the Internet: CURL:http://www.aapspharmsci.org/view.asp?path=ps0301¥ps030102¥ps0 30102.xml&pdf=yes> X SAI, Yoshimichi et al., Immunolocalization and pharmacological relevance of oligopeptide transporter PepH1 in intestinal absorption of β-lactam antibiotics, FEBS Letters, 1996, Vol.392, No.1, pages 25 to 29, full text X Special categories of cited documents: document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance carlier document but published on or after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) document published prior to the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or thorizon understand the principle or the considered to be considered to involve an inventive of document of particular relevance, the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive of the same patent family and the document of particular relevance, the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive of the same patent family and the priority date claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive of the same patent family and the priority date claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive and the priority date claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive and the priority date claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive and the priority date claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive and the priority date claimed invention cannot be considered to	Category*			Relevant to claim No.
See patent family annex. See patent family		SUN, D. et al., Drug inhib	ition of Gly-Sar uptake	9-11,13,14
Comment which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of special reason (as specified) document published prior to the international filing date chimed to fich eactual completion of the international search 20 February, 2003 (20.02.03) Telcphone No. Comment which may address of the ISA/ Japanese Patent Office Comment while may be completed to stablish address of the ISA/ Japanese Patent Office Comment while may be completed to the completion of the international filing date of the actual completion of the international filing date of the actual completion of the international search 20 February, 2003 (20.02.03) Telcphone No. Telcphone No. Comment while may be completed to the priority date claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious persons (site of the actual completion of the international search 20 February, 2003 (20.02.03) Details of the actual completion of the international search 20 February Completed No. Telcphone No.	A .	protein, AAPS PharmaScilon	ing hPepT1-GFP fusion	1-7
Retrieved from the Internet: <pre>CRL:http://www.aapspharmsci.org/view.asp?path=ps0301½ps030102½ps0 30102.xml&pdf=yes> X</pre>		(11.01.01), Vol.3, No.1, E2	, full text	
X A SAI, Yoshimichi et al., Immunolocalization and pharmacological relevance of oligopeptide 1-7 SAI, Yoshimichi et al., Immunolocalization and pharmacological relevance of oligopeptide 1-7 Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C. See patent family annex. No.1, pages 25 to 29, full text Special categories of cited documents: document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance carlier document but bilished on or after the international filing date or one of the cardiare document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is repeated reason (as specified) document published prior to the international filing date but later document published prior to the international filing date but later document published prior to the international search adocument published prior to the international search to orbit actual completion to cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other means document published prior to the international filing date but later document published prior to the international search combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art document published prior to the international search combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art document under the priority date claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combinated with one or more other such documents such a document is combinated with one or more other such documents such a document is combinated with one or more other such documents such and the priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to involve an inventive step when the document is considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combinated with one or more other such document such and the priority date and not in conflict with the ap		Retrieved from the Internet	: <url:http: td="" www<=""><td>•</td></url:http:>	•
A pharmacological relevance of oligopeptide transporter PepT1 in intestinal absorption of β-lactam antibiotics, FEBS Letters, 1996, Vol.392, No.1, pages 25 to 29, full text X Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C. Special categories of cited documents: document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance; carlier document but published on or after the international filing date. document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed the of the actual completion of the international search 20 February, 2003 (20.02.03) The and mailing address of the ISA/ Japanese Patent Office See patent family annex. To later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combinated with one or more other such document is combinated with one or more other such document is combinated with one or more other such document is combinated with one or more other such document is combinated with one or more other such document is combinated with one or more other such document is combinated being obvious to a person skilled in the art document in the priority date and on in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone of the observation of the international filing date or pr		30102.xml&pdf=yes>	path=ps0301\ps030102\ps0	
Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C. Special categories of cited documents: document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance carlier document but published on or after the international filing date document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) "document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed tet of the actual completion of the international search 20 February, 2003 (20.02.03) The and mailing address of the ISA/ Japanese Patent Office Sec patent family annex. "T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered nowlor an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such document is combined with one or more other such document is combined with one or more other such document is combined with one or more other such document is combined with one or more other such document is combined with one or more other such document is combined with one or more other such document is combined with one or more other such document is combined with one or more other such document is combined with one or more other such document is combined to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such document is combined with one or more other such document is combined with one or more other such document is combined to involve an inventive step when the document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered nowel or cannot be considered nowel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined		pharmacological relevance of transporter PepT1 in intest	f oligopeptide	
Special categories of cited documents: A document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance carlier document but published on or after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document such document with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art document member of the same patent family Date of mailing of the international search report 11 March, 2003 (11.03.03) Authorized officer Telcphone No.		No.1, pages 25 to 29, full	Letters, 1996, Vol.392, text	
Special categories of cited documents: A document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance carlier document but published on or after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document such document with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art document member of the same patent family Date of mailing of the international search report 11 March, 2003 (11.03.03) Authorized officer Telcphone No.	1			
Special categories of cited documents: A document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance carlier document but published on or after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document such document with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art document member of the same patent family Date of mailing of the international search report 11 March, 2003 (11.03.03) Authorized officer Telcphone No.			. 1	
Special categories of cited documents: A document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance carlier document but published on or after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document such document with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art document member of the same patent family Date of mailing of the international search report 11 March, 2003 (11.03.03) Authorized officer Telcphone No.	1			
Special categories of cited documents: A document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance carlier document but published on or after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document such document with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art document member of the same patent family Date of mailing of the international search report 11 March, 2003 (11.03.03) Authorized officer Telcphone No.				•
Special categories of cited documents: A document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance carlier document but published on or after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document such document with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art document member of the same patent family Date of mailing of the international search report 11 March, 2003 (11.03.03) Authorized officer Telcphone No.	× Further	documents are listed in the continuation of D. G.		
document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance carlier document but published on or after the international filing date document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) "document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed the of the actual completion of the international search 20 February, 2003 (20.02.03) Telephone No. Adocument defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive and invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive attachment in the priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive document of particular relevance. The priority date and not in conflict with the application of the invention of the invention of the i				•
date document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed atte of the actual completion of the international search 20 February, 2003 (20.02.03) The and mailing address of the ISA/ Japanese Patent Office Adocument of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art document member of the same patent family Date of mailing of the international search report 11 March, 2003 (11.03.03) Authorized officer Telephone No.	A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance		priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention	
cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed ate of the actual completion of the international search 20 February, 2003 (20.02.03) The and mailing address of the ISA/ Japanese Patent Office step then the document is taken alone document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document of particular relevance; the claimed document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document of particular relevance; the claimed invention of the invention of the international state of the same particular relevance; the considered to involve	date	<u> </u>	A document of particular relevance; the cla	imed invention cannot be
means document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed ate of the actual completion of the international search 20 February, 2003 (20.02.03) The and mailing address of the ISA/ Japanese Patent Office combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art document member of the same patent family Date of mailing of the international search report 11 March, 2003 (11.03.03) Authorized officer Telephone No.	cited to es	stablish the publication date of another citation or other ason (as specified)	"Y" document of particular relevance; the cla	imed invention cannot be
than the priority date claimed the of the actual completion of the international search 20 February, 2003 (20.02.03) The and mailing address of the ISA/ Japanese Patent Office Telephone No. Date of mailing of the international search report 11 March, 2003 (11.03.03) Authorized officer Telephone No.	means		combined with one or more other such de	ocuments such
20 February, 2003 (20.02.03) The and mailing address of the ISA/ Japanese Patent Office Telephone No.	than the p	nority date claimed	"&" document member of the same patent far	nily
Japanese Patent Office resimile No. Telephone No.	ate of the act	ual completion of the international search oruary, 2003 (20.02.03)	Date of mailing of the international search 11 March, 2003 (11.0	report 3.03)
Japanese Patent Office resimile No. Telephone No.	ame and mail	ing address of the ISA/		
Telephone (No.			Authorized officer	
	csimile No.	•	Telephone No	
	rm PCT/IS/	V210 (second sheet) (July 1998)	,	



INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No. PCT/JP02/12708

ategory*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
A	MRSNY, Randall J. et al., Oligopeptide transporters as putative therapeutic targets for cancer cells, Pharmaceutical Research, 1998, Vol.15, No.6, pages 816 to 818, full text	1-7,9-14
А	GONZALEZ, Deborah E. et al., An oligopeptide transporter is expressed at high levels in the pancreatic carcinoma cell lines AsPc-1 and Capan-2, CANCER RESEARCH, 1998, Vol.58, No.3, pages 519 to 525, full text	
:		
		,
:		
·	·	



INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.
PCT/JP02/12708

Box I Observations where certain claims were found unsearchable (Continuation of item 2 of first sheet)
This international search report has not been established in respect of certain claims under Article 17(2)(a) for the following reasons:
1. X Claims Nos.: 8
because they relate to subject matter not required to be searched by this Authority, namely: Claim 8 pertains to methods for treatment of the human body by therapy and thus relates to a subject matter which this International Searching Authority is not required, under the provisions of Article 17(2)(a)(i) of the PCT and Rule 39.1(iv) of the Regulations under the PCT, to search. 2. Claims Nos.:
because they relate to parts of the international application that do not comply with the prescribed requirements to such an extent that no meaningful international search can be carried out, specifically:
3. Claims Nos.:
because they are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of Rule 6.4(a).
Box II Observations where unity of invention is tacking (Continuation of item 3 of first sheet)
It is recognized that the matter common to the inventions as set forth in claims 1 to 7 of the present application and the inventions as set forth in claims 9 to 14 resides in "an antibody binding to PepT". However, such an antibody had been publicly known as reported in the following document. Thus, this constitution cannot be regarded as a novel matter. Therefore, it cannot be considered as the major part of the invention. Also, it cannot be recognized that these groups of inventions have a common technical problem which had remained unsolved until the application. Such being the case, the inventions as set forth in claims 9 to 14 and the inventions as set forth in claims 1 to 7 (continued to extra sheet) 1. As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers all searchable claims. 2. As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee.
As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:
No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international search report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:
'Amark an Protest The additional search face were
The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest. No protest accompanied the payment of additional search fees.





INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.
PCT/JP02/12708

Continuation of Box No.II of continuation of first sheet(1)

of the present case are not considered as relating to a group of inventions so linked as to form a single general inventive concept.

Form PCT/ISA/210 (extra sheet) (July 1998)